



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,118	08/06/2003	Deborah Jean Hinten		7252

7590 10/27/2008  
Rev. Deborah Jean Hinten M.S.  
5701 Leetonia Road  
Leetonia, OH 44431

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3728

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/27/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

10/662,118

Examiner

Marie Patterson

Applicant(s)

HINTEN, DEBORAH JEAN

Art Unit

3728

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 24 March 2008.
  - (a) ☐ A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.  
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
  - (c) ☐ A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
  - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
  - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
  - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is due.  
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_\_. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
  - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
  - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on \_\_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:

/Marie Patterson/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 3728

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

TC3700 RANDOLPH

Organization Bldg./Room  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Official Business

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



02 1M  
\$00.42<sup>0</sup>  
0004244939 OCT 27 2008  
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314



7590 10/27/2008

Rev. Deborah Jean Hinten M.S.  
5701 Leetonia Road  
Leetonia, OH 44431

4443139751 R001





Rev. Deborah Jean Hinten, M.S.  
5701 Leetonia Road  
Leetonia, Ohio 44431  
Phone: (330) 424-9171  
November 7, 2008  
Page 1 Of 3

Application #	Filing Date
10/662113	08/06/2003
10/662114	08/06/2003
10/662115	08/06/2003
10/662116	08/06/2003
10/662117	08/06/2003
10/662118	08/06/2003
10/662119	08/06/2003

#### REASON

The United States Patent And Trademark Office refused to help or believe me since I have Cerebral Palsy. As a result, I had to watch both parents being murdered. The Quick View Pharmaceutical Log (Patent Application # 10/662113) decodes the Physicians' Desk Reference. Many doctors within Columbiana County, Ohio, are continually knowingly and purposely breaking the state law or Revised Code # 4731.22B2 (see page enclosed) by refusing to consult various resources to select medications.

According to patent law, it is a federal crime to withhold a patent from the inventor and/or the inventor's family members. This is exactly what happened. Please when assigning a attorney, please select someone who cares. The local (Salem, Boardman, Youngstown, and Dayton) patent attorneys refused to defend the obvious patent federal law.

My parents died right before my eyes and I was not allowed to use my patent (which was sent in August 4, 2003 and Granted February 29, 2004)!

Rev. Deborah Jean Hinten, M.S.

5701 Leetonia Road

Leetonia, Ohio 44431

Phone: (330) 424-9171

November 7, 2008

Page 2 Of 3

All other six medical patents (Invisible Bed - Patent Application #10/662114, Big Willy - Patent Application # 10/662115, Balance Enhancer - Patent Application # 10/662116, Gentle Lifting Of Right Area (GLORA) - Patent Application # 10/662117, Customized Orthopedic Shoe Soles - Patent Application # 10/662118, and Buckled Velcro Strapped Surgical Shoe - Patent Application # 10/662119) helped me take care of my parents twenty four hours a day, seven days a week.

The United States Patent And Trademark Office took back the Patent Application # 10/662119, ignored and did not investigate the sent twenty one pages of a podiatrist stealing my patent. The United States Patent And Trademark Office did not send me a summary of assigned patent application numbers until much later. Then the United States Patent And Trademark Office abandon all other patent work. In the other four cases (Invisible Bed - Patent Application #10/662114, Big Willy - Patent Application # 10/662115, Balance Enhancer - Patent Application # 10/662116, Gentle Lifting Of Right Area (GLORA) - Patent Application # 10/662117) Notices To File Missing Parts Of Nonprovisional Application were never received.

The Invisible Bed - Patent Application #10/662114 and Big Willy - Patent Application # 10/662115 both need manufactured drawings. These two are missing everything except for the concept.

An over eight thousand page (triple copied) documented testimony concerning "Wrongful Deaths" or "Murder" has been ignored by all governmental levels

Rev. Deborah Jean Hinten, M.S.

5701 Leetonia Road

Leetonia, Ohio 44431

Phone: (330) 424-9171

November 7, 2008

Page 3 Of 3

Please accept all seven medical patents as is or give an  
unlimited time period.

Thank You!

Sincerely Yours,

*Rev Deborah Jean Hinten, M.S.*

Rev. Deborah Jean Hinten, M.S.

## CERTIFICATES

4731.222 Grounds for refusal to grant and revocation of certificate bearing and inverting the reverse medical examinations automatic suspension: quality intervention program

(A) The state medical board, pursuant to an adjudication under Chapter 119, of the Revised Code and by a vote of not fewer than six of its members, may revoke or may refuse to grant a certificate to a person found by the board to have committed fraud in passing the examination or to have committed fraud, misrepresentation, or deception in applying for or securing any license or certificate issued by the board.

(B) The board, pursuant to an adjudication under Chapter 119, of the Revised Code and by a vote of not fewer than six members, shall, to the extent permitted by law, limit, revoke, or suspend a certificate, refuse to register or refuse to reinstate an applicant, or reprimand or place on probation the holder of a certificate for one or more of the following reasons:

(1) Permitting one's name or one's certificate of registration to be used by a person, group, or corporation when the individual concerned is not actually directing the treatment given.

(2) Failure to use reasonable care discrimination in the administration of drugs, or failure to employ acceptable scientific methods in the selection of drugs or other modalities for treatment of disease.

(3) Selling, giving away, personally furnishing, or administering drugs for other than legal and legitimate therapeutic purposes or a plea of guilty in, or a judicial finding of

